



## MEETING OF THE IATI GOVERNING BOARD (VIRTUAL)

DATE: 26 JUNE 2018

### Minutes

**Attending:** Secretariat (Carolyn Culey, Argjira Belegu-Shuku, Annelise Parr, Lea Zoric), Bond (Sarah Johns), Oxfam Novib (Leo Stolk), Madagascar (Zefania Romalahy), UNICEF (Tim Takona), The Netherlands (Theo van de Sande - chair), TAG Chair (John Adams)

**Not attending:** Bangladesh (Anwar Hossein)

1. **Previous GB meeting (May 9):** Minutes were accepted;
2. **Workstream 1 – Data Use:**
  - Update on Data Use Task Force and outlook on future activities (part I of session)
  - Interactive discussion on tables on data use, based on data use profiles DI has created (part II of session).
  - *Objective is to encourage people to think outside the box in regard to data use.*
3. **Workstream 2 – Data Quality:**
  - Madagascar presenting in MA on results of cooperation with DG and UNICEF (update); USAID to be involved to include donor/government perspective;
  - ➔ **Action:** UNDP to reach out to USAID to clarify input/presentation with them.
  - Suggestion for MA: publisher and users to be connected at the table and discuss data quality issues in order to highlight potential information gaps and issues associated with data gaps to publishers to encourage them to adopt reporting.
  - Petya prepared handouts on data user profiles that participants will work on at different tables;
  - ➔ **Action:** UNDP to clarify with AFJ and USAID how experience from WPF Day can be incorporated into this session.
4. **Workstream 3 – The Standard, TAG**
  - Draft paper prepared on duplication of the standard, but no comments received so far. Two questions to MA: shall we move forward and if so, what is the time frame; proposal is to move ahead and propose a timeframe of 2 years;
  - ➔ DI to consult with main stakeholders on this (timeline, resources needed, etc.) ahead of MA.
  - Draft paper on long-term technical vision. Session to look at priorities between now and end of 2019: clarification of goals regarding core services, applications, and outsourcing vs. in-house services).
  - *Discussion in MA to focus on what is the future of the Standard and what shall be the core of IATI?*

## 5. Workstream 4 - Communication & Outreach

- Website launch: DI to make presentation and conduct a brief quiz on the new website to encourage use;
- *Discussion to focus on usability and accessibility (not design);*
- ➔ Pictures of Board members for the website will be taken by photographer at MA;

### Outreach:

- Paper on value proposition for membership is presented to promote brainstorming and discussion in MA (without discussing financial contribution structure).

## 6. Workstream 6 – Institutional Review

- Discussion on how to present findings of Universalialia report in MA:
  - o Caucus meetings as a space to discuss and inform and clarify, not necessarily any need for unified caucus/constituency opinions – rather pre-discussion and clarifications in smaller groups than having lengthy discussions in the MA on details and elaboration of the analysis;
  - o Same ppt to be presented in all caucus meetings (to be discussed/prepared/ by working group). Overall, a similar structure and focus for all three caucus meetings (1h of caucus meeting dedicated to Institutional Review) is foreseen. Agendas structured along main agenda items of MA.
  - o Secretariat to join caucus meetings and share its technical knowledge; feedback from caucus meetings important for predicting the flow of the MA session.
- ➔ UNDP to schedule some time in the afternoon for a Board feedback session;
- ➔ Board Institutional leads will prepare ppt and prepare IR session for caucus meetings.

## 7. Workstream 5 – Operational Questions

- Open discussions on all workstreams to determine what policies, SOP or other updates/revisions are required;
- Policy on financial support for observers, CSOs, etc. to participate in MA or TAG ready for discussion in MA if time (session 12);
- Financial update presentation: update finances Y5 and outline of budget for Y6 (16 months arrangement and no increase in membership fees). (*Discussion not completed due to time constraints and to be continued between GB and Secretariat*);
- Action plan including timeline with milestones required for the implementation of institutional reform required, but 3 years strategic plan drafting is not feasible within the MA. MA shall provide recommendations and based on agreement, GB and Secretariat will be mandated to work on the details of strategic planning and the implementation details.

## 8. AOB

- Interactive, participatory, consultative MA desired and tone for this to be set in opening remarks.

**Next Board call:** July 9, 2018.